

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

A	APPLICATION NO	). F	TLING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/813,424			03/29/2004	Donald A. Hoffend JR.	85809.000032	4775	
	23387	7590	08/01/2005		EXAMINER		
	Stephen I	3. Salai, Es	sq.	MARCELO, EMMANUEL MONSAYAC			
	Harter, Se	crest & Eme	ery LLP				
	1600 Baus	ch & Lomb	Place		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	Rochester, NY 14604-2711				3654		

DATE MAILED: 08/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/813,424	HOFFEND, DONALD A.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Emmanuel M Marcelo	3654		

Derore the rining of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Emmanuel M Marcelo	3654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 24 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.				
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) ∑ The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviewent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any explore a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must bamendments</li> </ol>	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.			
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO	f, will <u>not</u> be entered l TE below);	pecause			
(c)⊠ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>e.g. "and movable vertically with"</u> , cl 1. (S		jected claims.				
<ul> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ul>	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	ent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profit the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 5,13 and 14. Claim(s) objected to:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4 and 8-11.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s).  Canana M Marc	Warf- relo			

Emmanuel M Marcele Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3654

